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#### Abstract

We present a new catalog of 108 Herbig Ae/Be candidate stars identified in the Pico dos Dias Survey, together with 19 previously known candidates and four objects selected from the $I R A S$ Faint Source Catalog. These 131 stars were observed with low- and/or medium-resolution spectroscopy, and we complement these data with high-resolution spectra of 39 stars. The objects present a great variety of $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line profiles and were separated according to them. Our study suggests that most of the time a Herbig Ae/Be star will present a double peak $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line profile. Correlations among different physical parameters, such as spectral type and $v \sin i$ with $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line profiles were searched. We found no correlation among $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line profiles and spectral type or $v \sin i$ except for stars with P Cygni profiles, where there is a correlation with $v \sin i$. We also use preliminary spectral energy distributions to seek for any influence of the circumstellar medium in the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line profiles. The presence of $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right]$ forbidden lines is used together with the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line profiles and these preliminary spectral energy distributions to discuss the circumstellar environment of the Herbig Ae/Be candidates. The distribution of the detected $\left[\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{I} & \mathrm{I}] \text { and }[\mathrm{S} & \mathrm{II}] \text { forbidden lines among different spectral types points to a }\end{array}\right.$ significantly higher occurrence of these lines among B stars, whereas the distribution among different $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ profile types indicates that forbidden lines are evenly distributed among each $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line-profile type. Combining the distance estimates of the Herbig candidates with the knowledge of the interstellar medium distribution, we have found that 84 candidates can be associated with some of the more conspicuous SFRs, being in the right direction and at a compatible distance. As a further means of checking the properties of the HAeBe candidates, as well as their present evolutionary status, the derived luminosities and effective temperatures of the stars with possible association to the star-forming regions and/or Hipparcos distances were plotted together with a set of pre-main-sequence evolutionary tracks on an HR diagram. A set of 14 stars were found out of their expected positions in the HR diagram.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Determining whether a star belongs to the Herbig Ae/Be (HAeBe) group or not has been a topic of great discussion, with various criteria being used in the literature for the classification. In general, to be undoubtedly considered an HAeBe star, a candidate should present the following characteristics:

1. spectral type A or earlier, with emission lines;
2. located in an obscured region;
3. fairly bright nebulosity in its immediate vicinity;
4. present an anomalous extinction law;
5. show infrared excess;
6. be photometrically variable; and
7. display line profiles of $\mathrm{Mg}_{\mathrm{II}}(\lambda 2800)$ in emission.

The first three criteria were proposed by Herbig (1960) to define pre-main-sequence (PMS) stars of intermediate

[^0]mass. The last four are an extension proposed by Thé et al. (1994, hereafter TWP) to encompass the large set of new candidates. However, very few stars satisfy all of them. One possible explanation is that the HAeBe group spans a large range of evolutionary stages (Malfait et al. 1998).

A feature common to all HAeBe objects is the presence of infrared excess due to thermal reradiation, usually explained by the presence of an accretion disk or an almost symmetric circumstellar halo. Malfait et al. (1998) proposed different envelope shapes based on the spectral energy distributions (SEDs) obtained for 45 HAeBe stars. Imhoff (1994) found a correlation between the UV Mg if line profile and infrared excess. All the stars in his sample with strong nearinfrared excess showed the $\mathrm{Mg}_{\text {II }}$ line with P Cyg (indicator of winds) or double-peaked profiles (winds or disks), while those with weak IR excess presented the Mg II line in absorption.

Forbidden lines such as [O I] ( $\lambda 6300$ and $\lambda 6364$ ) and [ $\mathrm{S}_{\text {II }}$ ] ( $\lambda 6716 / \lambda 6731$ ) trace the emission from low-density regions near the stars. The shape of the forbidden-line profiles can indicate the presence of disks or outflow characteristics. When a disk exists, these lines are expected to be blueshifted and asymmetric, as in T Tauri stars (TTSs) (Finkenzeller 1985), since the receding part of the outflow will be hidden by the disk. In HAeBe stars there is still a debate on the origin of the observed forbidden lines. Almost all observed [ $\mathrm{O}_{\text {I }}$ ] lines are symmetric, and some authors (e.g., Böhm \& Catala 1994; Böhm \& Hirth 1997) favor the idea of an origin in a spherically symmetric wind without the presence of disks. Others, in contrast, (Corcoran \& Ray 1997) suggest
that these lines come from winds originating in the outermost parts of a disk, since the forbidden lines are very narrow compared with the typical stellar wind velocity of hundreds of kilometers per second inferred from P Cyg profiles of permitted lines.

The presence of disks around HAeBe stars can be probed by simultaneous photometric and spectroscopic observations. Grinin et al. (1994) and Vieira et al. (1999) observed Algol-like minima accompanied by variations in the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ profile of UX Ori and HD 100546, which can be explained by inhomogeneities or clumps orbiting the star in an edgeon disk. Nowadays, a few HAeBe stars, including HD 100546, have had their disks directly imaged with coronographic techniques (Augereau et al. 2001; Mouillet et al. 2001).

The aim of this work is to present a statistical analysis of a sample of 131 HAeBe stars for which we have low- and medium-resolution spectra, covering the spectral range 4300-6800 $\AA .{ }^{6}$ For most of them (107) we also have nonsimultaneous $U B V R I$ photometry, which is used to obtain physical parameters.

## 2. DATA SELECTION

Using the IRAS Point Source Catalog, a search for new TTSs was conducted at the Observatório do Pico dos Dias (operated by the Laboratório Nacional de Astrofísica/ MCT, Brazil), the so-called Pico dos Dias Survey (PDS) (Gregorio-Hetem et al. 1992; Torres et al. 1995; Torres 1999). The candidate stars were selected by searching for starlike objects brighter than 14 mag (eye estimated) in the images of the Digitized Sky Survey within $3.3 \sigma$ of the IRAS position errors, south of $30^{\circ}$ north and possibly associated with sources having infrared fluxes with spectral indices in the interval

$$
\begin{align*}
& -1.35 \leq \alpha_{1} \leq 2.0  \tag{1}\\
& -1.85 \leq \alpha_{2} \leq 1.5 \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The indices were defined as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{1}=3.14 \times \log \left(F_{25} / F_{12}\right)-1  \tag{3}\\
& \alpha_{2}=2.63 \times \log \left(F_{60} / F_{12}\right)-1 \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F_{12}, F_{25}$, and $F_{60}$ are fluxes in the infrared at 12,25 , and $60 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, respectively. Known QSOs, active galactic nuclei, and planetary nebulae were rejected, as well as stars in the Herbig \& Bell Catalog (Herbig \& Bell 1995, hereafter HBC).

Since our search for TTSs using IRAS colors was based on dust properties and not on the star itself, our selection criteria also included HAeBe stars. It is more difficult to be certain of the classification of a HAeBe star than of a TTS, because there is no feature like the $\mathrm{Li}(\lambda 6707)$ line as a clear signature of this class of objects. We used the following criteria to extract the HAeBe candidate objects:

1. stars that present spectral type earlier than F5 with emission in $\mathrm{H} \alpha$, and

[^1]2. $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ that does not seem to come from the circumstellar nebula, and
3. objects that are not supergiants, symbiotics, or LBVs, and
4. objects that have the spectral index $\beta \gtrsim-2$.

The $\beta$ index is defined as $\beta=0.75 \log \left(F_{12} / F_{V}\right)-1$, where $F_{V}$ is the flux in the visual band. This last condition allows to eliminate weaker infrared sources as classical Be or Vega-like stars.

Using these criteria, the PDS identified 105 HAeBe candidate stars (three being HAeBe binaries), but actually only 92 brighter than 14 mag.

The main previous compilations of HAeBe stars are HBC and TWP. In the HBC, using the first of the above criteria to define HAeBe stars, there are 71 candidates. In TWP there are 108 candidates (in their first table), 63 in common with HBC. Excluding three stars from the list of TWP that seem to be misclassified, the HBC+TWP forms a sample of 113 " known "HAeBe candidates. From these stars, only 41 obey the PDS magnitude, declination, and spectral index limits. Due to its long duration, 18 stars of the PDS were also proposed as HAeBe candidates by TWP (by definition, none are part of the HBC), and all of the other 23 stars are both in HBC and TWP. Thus the "complete" sample within the PDS limits would be of 115 stars ( 92 from PDS plus 23 from HBC).

Actually, this is not a truly complete sample, first because of the variations in magnitude and $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ emission that could


Fig. 1.-Histograms showing distribution of HAeBe candidates from $\operatorname{PDS}($ top $)$ and HBC (bottom) according to spectral indices $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$.
TABLE 1
Log of Spectroscopic Observations of Herbig Ae／Be Candidate Stars

| － |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 会速 | － |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{2} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  |
| ふ | 壬 <br>  |
| 免® |  |
| $\hat{a} \approx$ |  |
| － |  |
| 总 | E |
|  |  |
| $\underset{\sim}{\dot{\sim}}$ |  |
| ふ |  <br>  <br>  |
| 艺 |  |
| へิこ |  |

TABLE 1-Continued

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PDS } \\ & \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ | Name <br> (2) | IRAS <br> (3) | S. R <br> (4) | Sp. Type <br> (5) | Type <br> (6) | F. L. <br> (7) | PDS <br> (1) | Name <br> (2) | IRAS <br> (3) | S. R. <br> (4) | Sp. Type <br> (5) | Type <br> (6) | F. L. <br> (7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 241 ........ | GSC 4823-0146 | 07061-0414 | M, H | B0 | I | [ $\mathrm{O}_{1}$ ] | 096........ | HD 323771 | 17306-3921 | L, M | B5 Vp | IV | - |
| 027......... | DW CMa | 07173-1733 | M, H | B2? | IV | [ $\mathrm{I}_{\text {I }}$ ] | 465........ | Hen 3-1475 | 17423-1755 | M | B7 | III | [ I ] |
|  | HBC 552 | 07178-4429 | M | F+sh | II | [ O I] | 469 | GSC 5673-0127 | 17481-1415 | M | A0 | I | - |
| $249 . . . . . . .$. | GSC 6546-3156 | 07222-2610 | M | A0 | I | - | $473^{\text {T }}$...... | HD 163296 | 17533-2156 | L, M, H | A0 | II | [ $\left.\mathrm{OI}_{\mathrm{I}}\right]+\left[\mathrm{S}_{\text {II }}\right]$ |
| 250 ........ | GSC 6542-2339 | 07225-2428 | M | O9? | III | $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right]+\left[\mathrm{S}_{\text {II }}\right]$ | 477 ........ |  | 17575-1647 | M | B1 | III | [ O I] |
| 133........ | SPH 6 | 07230-2539 | M | B6 | III | $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right]+\left[\mathrm{S} \mathrm{HI]}^{\text {I }}\right.$ | 514..... | HD 169142 | 18213-2948 | L, M, H | A9 | I | [ I ] |
| $255 . . . . . .$. | SS73 6 | 07296-1921 | M | B1? | II | [ O I] | $518^{\mathrm{T}} \ldots . .$. | MWC 297 | 18250-0351 | M | B0 | I | [ O I] |
| 134........ | SS 127 | 07303-2148 | M | B6 | II | - |  | HBC 282 | $18262+0006$ | M | B+sh | II | [ I ] |
| 257......... | GSC 5988-2257 | 07394-1953 | M | B3 | II | [ $\mathrm{O}_{\text {I }}$ ] | 520 ....... | GSC 0446-0153 | $18275+0040$ | M | F3V | II | [ I ] |
| QT2 ....... | GSC 8143-1225 | F07577-5014 | L | F3 V | ? | - | $530 . . . . .$. |  | $18391+0805$ | M | F0 V? | I | $\left[\mathrm{OI}_{\mathrm{I}}\right]+\left[\mathrm{S} \mathrm{HI]}^{\text {I }}\right.$ |
| 272........ | HD 68695 | 08100-4356 | L, M | A0 V | I | - | 543........ |  | 18454+0250 | M, H | B1 | I |  |
| 277........ | CD-38 4380 | 08213-3857 | L, M | F3 V | I | - | 545..... | MWC 610 | $18483+0838$ | M, H | B2 | II | - |
| 031S....... | HD 72106S | 08277-3826 | M | A0 | I | ? | 551........ |  | 18528+0400 | M | O9? | III | [ $\mathrm{I}_{\text {] }}$ ] |
| QT3 ....... | GSC 8581-2002 | F08432-5945 | L | A5 V | ? | - |  | HBC 287 | 18583-3657 | M | B9 | ? | - |
| 033......... | GSC 7679-0293 | 08469-4037 | L, M | A0 | II | - |  | HBC 288 | 18585-3701 | M | A0+sh | II | [ $\mathrm{O}_{\text {I }}$ ] |
| 034........ |  | 08482-4541 | L, M | B2 | II | [ O I] | $564{ }^{\text {T }} \ldots . .$. | HD 179218 | 19089+1542 | M, H | A0 V | I | [ I ] |
|  | HD 76534N | 08533-4316 | M | B2 | II | - | 581........ | GSC 2150-0266 | $19343+2926$ | M, H | B0.5 IV | I | [ OI$]+\left[\mathrm{S} \mathrm{III}^{\text {I }}\right.$ |
|  | HD 76534S | 08533-4316 | M | B3 | II | - |  | HD 190073 | 20005+0535 | M, H | A0 IVp+sh | IV | [ I ] |
| $281 . . . . . . .$. | SAO 220669 | 08539-4413 | L | B4 | II? | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^2]cause some loss of objects. Furthermore, a sample based on IRAS could not form a complete sample because of its incomplete and inhomogeneous sky coverage. Another problem may arise with the spectral index limits. As the $I R A S$ spectral indices are optimized for a TTS search, we checked how they work for HAeBe using the 57 HBC HAeBe that have $I R A S$ fluxes. In Figure 1 we show the histograms of both spectral indices of the PDS and HBC samples. For the HBC sample, considering the $I R A S$ flux limits, we used stars that have at least one of the spectral indices defined. One can see that both samples have similar distributions for $\alpha_{1}$, showing that the used limits are adequate. In the case of $\alpha_{2}$ some HAeBe candidates may have been lost in PDS because their indices could be out of the limits (specially for the upper limit). But within the PDS criteria, the 115 stars form a nearly complete sample. It is important to note that our sample is less biased toward bright objects than other catalogs since we used IRAS colors (not limited by the source brightness) as selection criteria.

In the present paper we added to the 105 stars observed in the PDS (or 108, if each member of the binaries is counted) 19 stars that were previously identified as HAeBe candidates: 10 from TWP and nine from HBC. We also included four stars from the IRAS Faint Source Catalog, HD 290500, GSC 8143-1225, GSC 8581-2002, and HD 114981. Thus, we are presenting in this paper 131 HAeBe candidates.

The PDS and IRAS identifications, the spectral classification, and other information about the HAeBe candidates discussed in the following sections can be found in Table 1.

## 3. OBSERVATIONS

### 3.1. Photometry

Johnson $U B V(R I)_{c}$ measurements were gathered for 107 of the 131 stars in 63 nights during a period of 8 yr (19901998). The observations were collected at OPD (Brazil) with the 60 cm Zeiss telescope equipped with the FOTRAP photometer (Jablonsky et al. 1994). Standard stars were taken from Graham (1982), and the data were reduced using a package developed by Jablonsky et al. (1994). Further details of the reduction scheme can be found in Torres (1999).

Interstellar extinction was determined using the $B-V$ and $V-I$ colors, which are less affected by the presence of emission lines, and the calibrations of Schmidt-Kaler (1982) and Kenyon \& Hartmann (1995) using spectral types determined as explained in the next section. Since our main goal is to have only indicative values, we used $R=3.3+$ $0.28(B-V)_{0}+0.04 E_{(B-V)}$ (Schmidt-Kaler 1982) for all stars, independently of their position in the sky. The photometric data used and the derived parameters are listed in Table 2.

### 3.2. Spectroscopy

Low- and medium-resolution spectra of all the objects presented in Table 1 were used to carry out a statistical analysis of the features supposedly associated with the HAeBe class. Examples of such spectra are shown in Figure 2, covering the total observed wavelength range at each resolution (low: 4790-6880 $\AA$ and medium: 6290-6745 $\AA$, except for three stars where the spectra start at $6500 \AA$ ). We also used high-resolution echelle spectra collected for 39 stars at ESO (Chile) and the Lick Observatory.


FIG. 2.-Medium-resolution spectrum of PDS 225 (left) and lowresolution spectrum of PDS 353 (right). Both spectra show the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line in emission.

The low-resolution spectra were collected with the Cassegrain spectrograph of the 1.6 m telescope at OPD, using a $1024 \times 1024$ pixel CCD and a diffraction grating of 600 lines $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$. The spectra have a signal-to-noise ratio $(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N})$ of $\approx 80$, and a resolving power of $R=1000$. The same CCD and a diffraction grating of 600 lines $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ were used with the Coude spectrograph to obtain the mediumresolution spectra ( $R=9000$ ), except for some stars where other CCDs were used $(1152 \times 770$ pixels and $578 \times 385$ pixels). To confirm the spectral classification, some stars were reobserved at La Silla with the 1.52 m ESO telescope using the Boller \& Chivens Cassegrain spectrograph ( $R=5000$ ). Reduction of the spectra collected at OPD was performed in a standard way using the IRAF package, while the ESO data were reduced with the MIDAS package. All the spectra are not flux calibrated, so each spectrum has been continuum-normalized.

The high-resolution spectra were collected with the Coudé Auxiliary Telescope using the Hamilton Echelle Spectrograph at Lick Observatory and the ESO 1.52 m telescope using the FEROS echelle spectrograph. The reduction of the Lick data was performed in a standard way described by Valenti (1994) and the FEROS reduction was automatically performed on-line by MIDAS routines. Both reduction packages include flat fielding, background subtraction, removal of cosmic rays, wavelength calibration, and barycentric correction.

Spectral types, in most cases, were determined from limited spectral range around $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ using a grid of standards (Torres 1999). The mean uncertainty in this type of determination, using stars classified in the literature as comparison, is about one spectral subtype for the B stars and two for the A and F ones. Nevertheless, since the spectral range is limited and not very adequate for spectral classification at those temperatures, we can exceptionally expect greater errors (up to five subtypes). Our proposed spectral types are in Table 1, and the corresponding effective temperatures (Kenyon \& Hartmann 1995) in Table 2.

## 4. SPECTROSCOPIC ANALYSIS

## 4.1. $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ Line Profiles

During our 9 yr of observations, 22 stars were observed more than once. Eight of them changed their $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line profile

TABLE 2
Photometric Observations of Herbig Ae/Be Candidates

| PDS <br> (1) | (2) | $U-B$ <br> (3) | $B-V$ <br> (4) | $V-R$ <br> (5) | $R-I$ <br> (6) | $\log T_{\mathrm{eff}}$ <br> (7) | PDS <br> (1) | $V$ <br> (2) | $U-B$ <br> (3) | $B-V$ <br> (4) | $V-R$ <br> (5) | $R-I$ <br> (6) | $\log T_{\text {eff }}$ <br> (7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 002. | 10.87 | 0.01 | 0.38 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 3.829 | 033. | 12.34 | 0.22 | 0.29 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 3.979 |
| 004....... | 10.66 | 0.23 | 0.37 | 0.21 | 0.25 | 3.979 | 034. | 13.99 | 0.02 | 0.76 | 0.55 | 0.62 | 4.270 |
| 168...... | 17.30 | - | - | 2.20 | 1.65 | 3.850 | 281..... | 8.87 | -0.03 | 0.59 | 0.37 | 0.42 | 4.188 |
| 172. | 7.57 | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 3.941 | 286... | 12.15 | 0.48 | 1.76 | 1.24 | 1.15 | 4.400 |
| 174....... | 12.84 | -0.11 | 0.81 | 0.66 | 0.76 | 4.272 | $290 .$. | 14.51 | -0.07 | 0.69 | 0.48 | 0.55 | 4.000 |
| 176....... | 9.84 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 3.979 | 297....... | 12.03 | 0.16 | 0.31 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 3.895 |
| 178*..... | 7.78 | 0.08 | 0.25 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 3.940 | 303....... | 9.26 | -0.03 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 3.979 |
| 179. | 9.68 | 0.08 | 0.22 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 3.979 | $037 .$. | 13.54 | 0.50 | 1.52 | 1.16 | 1.17 | 4.340 |
| 180. | 10.14 | 0.11 | 0.33 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 3.880 | 315... | 10.86 | -0.71 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 4.550 |
| 114. | 10.02 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 4.021 | 322N..... | 12.01 | -0.46 | 0.25 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 4.342 |
| 183....... | 8.31 | 0.12 | 0.28 | 0.18 | 0.21 | 3.880 | 324....... | 14.45 | -0.14 | 0.90 | 0.62 | 0.68 | 4.404 |
| 184....... | 10.10 | 0.12 | 0.20 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 3.965 | 327........ | 8.47 | -0.71 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 4.405 |
| 185S. | 6.49 | -0.24 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 4.076 | 339. | 7.78 | 0.03 | 0.29 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 3.869 |
| 187........ | 12.79 | 0.22 | 0.37 | 0.23 | 0.37 | 3.980 | $340 .$. | 6.75 | -0.09 | -0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 4.021 |
| 190....... | 9.27 | -0.12 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 4.021 | 057........ | 9.24 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 3.979 |
| 191....... | 8.88 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 3.965 | 344..... | 13.15 | -0.31 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 4.188 |
| 192... | 9.88 | 0.09 | 0.32 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 3.857 | 139... | 13.31 | 0.10 | 1.41 | 0.89 | 0.93 | 4.477 |
| 193....... | 13.85 | - | 1.50 | 1.02 | 1.07 | 4.021 | 061. | 6.59 | -0.03 | 0.21 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 3.979 |
| 194....... | 9.80 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.15 | 3.914 | $140 \ldots$ | 13.11 | 0.62 | 1.17 | 0.77 | 0.73 | 3.838 |
| 198....... | 13.72 | 1.00 | 1.62 | 1.08 | 1.10 | 3.857 | 353..... | 13.21 | 0.11 | 0.82 | 0.76 | 0.68 | 4.188 |
| 016....... | 9.01 | -0.02 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 4.021 | $361 . .$. | 12.85 | -0.25 | 0.50 | 0.36 | 0.40 | 4.272 |
| 201...... | 8.92 | 0.23 | 0.35 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 3.895 | QT4 | 11.48 | 0.24 | 0.46 | 0.29 | 0.34 | 3.897 |
| 204...... | 12.80 | -0.27 | 0.92 | 0.82 | 0.68 | 4.405 | 364. | 13.46 | -0.08 | 0.47 | 0.41 | 0.42 | 4.150 |
| 018....... | 13.40 | 0.69 | 1.48 | 1.05 | 1.12 | 4.114 | 371*.... | 15.70 | - | 1.50 | 0.95 | 0.99 | 4.500 |
| 019....... | 13.91 | 0.37 | 1.05 | 0.67 | 0.69 | 4.188 | 067..... | 13.50 | 0.30 | 1.53 | 1.15 | 1.04 | 4.500 |
| 020....... | 10.64 | -0.07 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 4.077 | 069* ... | 9.80 | -0.20 | 0.32 | 0.30 | 0.38 | 4.272 |
| $021 . . . . .$. | 10.38 | -0.37 | 0.30 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 4.188 | 389. | 14.18 | - | 1.87 | 1.14 | 1.12 | 3.940 |
| 022. | 10.23 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 3.979 | 394. | 13.54 | 0.37 | 0.81 | 0.52 | 0.55 | 3.857 |
| 207...... | 14.01 | - | 1.29 | 0.87 | 1.01 | 4.000 | 395. | 8.40 | 0.03 | 0.24 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 3.880 |
| 124. | 12.44 | 0.18 | 0.53 | 0.29 | 0.34 | 3.979 | 144* | 12.79 | 0.31 | 0.49 | 0.30 | 0.33 | 3.980 |
| 211..... | 13.73 | 0.26 | 0.85 | 0.57 | 0.68 | 3.980 | 398A | 7.13 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 3.979 |
| 126....... | 11.82 | 0.32 | 0.53 | 0.30 | 0.36 | 3.895 | 399* ...... | 8.64 | -0.48 | 0.56 | 0.43 | 0.44 | 4.340 |
| 216....... | 14.67 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.94 | 0.74 | 4.342 | 076....... | 8.67 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.32 | 0.34 | 3.857 |
| 023....... | 13.52 | 0.30 | 1.39 | 0.95 | 1.01 | 4.400 | 406....... | 13.93 | 0.62 | 0.61 | 0.39 | 0.41 | 3.914 |
| 024....... | 13.26 | 0.32 | 0.36 | 0.28 | 0.29 | 3.940 | 078. | 8.18 | 0.11 | 0.35 | 0.24 | 0.26 | 3.914 |
| $130 \ldots$ | 13.40 | 0.36 | 0.66 | 0.44 | 0.52 | 3.979 | 080. | 9.10 | 0.38 | 0.52 | 0.31 | 0.34 | 3.848 |
| 225. | 6.91 | -0.77 | 0.04 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 4.271 | 415N.. | 12.04 | 0.47 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.62 | 3.857 |
| 025... | 12.50 | 0.18 | 0.55 | 0.34 | 0.37 | 3.941 | 431. | 13.42 | 0.21 | 0.57 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 3.979 |
| 229* | 13.13 | 0.12 | 0.57 | 0.39 | 0.50 | 3.979 | 453. | 12.92 | 0.25 | 0.78 | 0.48 | 0.53 | 3.838 |
| $234 . . . . .$. | 15.04 | - | 1.19 | 1.10 | 0.89 | 4.500 | 095. | 10.98 | -0.14 | 0.60 | 0.45 | 0.46 | 4.230 |
| 241 ........ | 12.06 | -0.38 | 0.65 | 0.61 | 0.34 | 4.477 | 096. | 11.03 | -0.31 | 0.30 | 0.27 | 0.34 | 4.190 |
| 027....... | 13.00 | 0.29 | 1.32 | 1.00 | 1.02 | 4.340 | 465 ........ | 12.87 | -0.03 | 0.97 | 0.88 | 0.85 | 4.340 |
| 249....... | 14.20 | 0.06 | 0.53 | 0.46 | 0.53 | 3.980 | 469.... | 12.77 | 0.30 | 0.56 | 0.38 | 0.44 | 3.979 |
| 250....... | 15.01 | - | 1.37 | 1.04 | 1.03 | 4.480 | 473 .... | 6.89 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 3.979 |
| 133....... | 13.13 | -0.04 | 0.48 | 0.34 | 0.29 | 4.140 | 477. | 14.42 | 0.13 | 1.12 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 4.477 |
| 255....... | 13.02 | -0.35 | 0.64 | 0.65 | 0.39 | 4.400 | 514....... | 8.15 | -0.02 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 3.979 |
| 134....... | 12.20 | -0.11 | 0.41 | 0.28 | 0.27 | 4.146 | 518....... | 12.18 | 1.29 | 2.10 | 1.96 | 1.42 | 4.500 |
| 257........ | 15.45 | - | 0.90 | 0.66 | 0.73 | 4.000 | $520 \ldots$ | 14.69 | - | 1.65 | 1.30 | 1.20 | 3.829 |
| QT2 ...... | 11.97 | 0.21 | 0.64 | 0.40 | 0.39 | 3.821 | $530 \ldots$ | 14.04 | 0.37 | 0.57 | 0.42 | 0.50 | 3.910 |
| 272....... | 9.82 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 3.979 | 543....... | 12.52 | 0.47 | 2.04 | 1.27 | 1.29 | 4.477 |
| 277....... | 9.96 | 0.05 | 0.41 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 3.829 | 545....... | 8.84 | -0.25 | 0.59 | 0.38 | 0.41 | 4.342 |
| 031*...... | 8.50 | -0.11 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 3.979 | 551........ | 16.60 | - | - | 1.50 | 1.27 | 4.500 |
| QT3 ...... | 7.23 | -0.58 | -0.10 | -0.04 | -0.06 | 4.207 | 564. | 7.39 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 3.941 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 581........ | 11.65 | -0.29 | 0.67 | 0.62 | 0.54 | 4.410 |

Notes.-Photometric observations in cols. (2)-(6), and effective temperatures in col. (7). The two stars marked with an asterisk are double and the integrated magnitudes were used. A hyphen means that there is no measurement.
from single to double peak or vice versa, and three maintained the double-peaked profile while the primary and secondary peaks exchanged their positions, and 11 remained with the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ profile stable, showing only minor changes in the intensities.

Any model that aims to explain the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ variations needs systematic observations of the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line and preferentially also some data covering other wavelengths, including several emission lines, to constrain the many model parameters. There are some recent works on line-profile modeling


Fig. 3.-Examples of observed line profiles with the classification proposed by Reipurth et al. (1996). (a) Type I; (b) type II; (c) type III; and (d) type IV.
of HAeBe stars (e.g., Shevchenko 1999; Bouret \& Catala 1998; Strafella et al. 1998; de Winter et al. 1999), which use different approaches to explain the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line profiles and/or their variations. They use clumpy circumstellar environments, magnetic fields, winds with velocity gradients, rotation, or combinations of two or more scenarios. A short discussion of the HAeBe models can be found in Reipurth et al. (1996).
Reipurth et al. (1996) proposed a classification system for $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line profiles in PMS objects. In this system they are separated in four groups, exemplified in Figure 3 and as follows:

1. Type I profiles are symmetric without, or with only very shallow, absorption features.
2. Type II profiles are double-peaked, with the secondary peak having more than half the strength of the primary.
3. Type III profiles are double-peaked, with the secondary peak having less than half the strength of the primary.
4. Type IV profiles have P Cyg line characteristics.

The objects in our sample present $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line profiles according to this system as follows:

1. type I: 29 stars ( $24 \%$ );
2. type II: 53 stars ( $43 \%$ );
3. type III: 23 stars ( $18.5 \%$ );
4. type IV: 18 stars ( $14.5 \%$ ).

This distribution of line profiles agrees with what was found by Reipurth et al. (1996) with a totally different and independent sample, type II being the most common and type IV the least common line profile among HAeBe stars. This fact suggests that most of the time a HAeBe star will present type II $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line profile.
Some authors (e.g., Vieira et al. 1999; Beskrovnaya et al. 1998) made a systematic study of the HAeBe stars HD 100546 and HD 163296 and explained the variations in their $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ profile by planetesimal bodies orbiting near the star and interaction of the wind with the circumstellar environment, respectively. PDS 034 and PDS 033 showed $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ profile variations similar to those observed in HD 100546 and HD 163296 (Figs. 4 and 5), deserving a more accurate study to confirm whether this kind of behavior can be explained by the same models.
Another interesting variation observed in double-peaked profiles is the flip over of the blue and red peaks, probably caused by the presence of a density structure (cometary bodies) in the circumstellar disk (Telting et al. 1994; de Winter et al. 1999). Two stars in our sample, PDS 018 and PDS 024 (Fig. 6), had profile variations that may be explained by this scenario. Again, it is important to notice that systematic observations are needed to confirm this hypothesis.
Because of the high variability of the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line profiles in a period of months, weeks, or even days, it is important to notice that the line profile is not correlated to the stellar mass or the stellar evolutionary status, the proposed classification being a snapshot of the current star properties. The objects that changed their $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line profile from one type to another were classified using the most recent available spectrum. Since they are only eight stars, our choice does not affect significantly our statistics. Another group of seven


Fig. 4.- $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line profile of PDS 034 observed in three different epochs. One can see the line profile changing from type I with humps (left) to type III (right).


FIg. 5.- $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line profile of PDS 033 observed in two different epochs. The line profile changed from type I (left) to type II (right).
objects has a very poor $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$, and it is impossible to assign any of the discussed types to them.

We also tried to associate the line-profile distribution with the index $\beta$ (defined in $\S 2$ ), but no correlation was found.

In a similar work with a smaller sample ( 57 objects) Finkenzeller \& Mundt (1984) found that stars with type IV line profiles were clustered among B8 to A0 spectral types. We do not verify in our sample such a correlation between spectral type and $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line profile. The 18 stars presenting type IV profile are evenly distributed among all spectral types (see Table 1).

We also tried to find some correlation between the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line-profile type and the projected rotational velocity ( $v \sin i$ ). Only 29 of our stars presented visible absorption lines with a reasonable $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ that could be used to determine $v \sin i$. We gathered atomic line data from the Vienna Atomic Line Database (VALD, Piskunov et al. 1995) and used the SME line synthesis code (Valenti \& Piskunov 1996)


FIg. 6.-H $\alpha$ line profiles of PDS 024 and PDS 018 observed in two different epochs. One can see the flip over of the red and blue peaks.


FIG. 7.-Sample of fitted (solid lines) and observed (plus signs) spectra for four HAeBe objects with different values of $v \sin i$.
to fit the absorption lines and determine the rotational velocities.

We used three absorption lines observed in the following wavelengths: 6347,6371 , and 6677 A. The initial parameters used in the SME code were effective temperature, $\log g$, and microturbulence and macroturbulence velocities (taken from Gray (1992) according to the stellar spectral type); these estimates led to a good fitting for $v \sin i$ (Fig. 7). The measured $v \sin i$ and the corresponding $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line-profile types and spectral types of the 29 stars are presented in Table 3.

We did not find any correlation between spectral type and $v \sin i$. As one can see from Table 3, there is also no correlation between $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ profile type and $v \sin i$ for types I, II, and III. However, all stars presenting $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ type IV line profiles have rotational velocities clustered below $\sim 100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. This fact is expected, since stars with P Cyg line profiles are expected to have strong winds and consequently strong mass loss, rapidly loosing angular momentum and being slow rotators.

## 4.2. $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right](6300$ and $6364 \AA)$ and $[\mathrm{S}$ II] (6716/6731 $\AA)$ Forbidden Lines

Using the low-, medium-, and high-resolution spectra obtained for all the stars, [ $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ] and/or [ $\left.\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right]$ lines were detected in 59 out of 128 objects (three stars have spectra beginning at 6500 A , and we consequently cannot say anything about the presence of [O I]). This amount corresponds to $46 \%$ of our sample, and it can be regarded as a lower limit since we do not have high-resolution spectra of all the stars and could therefore be missing some low-intensity

TABLE 3
Rotational Velocities for Herbig Ae/Be Candidates

| Object | $\begin{gathered} v \sin i \\ \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Type | Sp. Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PDS $225 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 225 | I | B3 |
| PDS 514. | 58 | I | A0 V |
| PDS 002 | 175 | I | B0 V |
| PDS 564 | 88 | I | A3 V |
| PDS $395 . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 52 | I | A8 V |
| HD 52721 | 456 | I | B2 Vn |
| PDS 174. | 144 | II | B3 |
| PDS 180. | 125 | II | A8 V |
| PDS 327 | 132 | II | B1 |
| PDS 361 | 190 | II | B3 |
| PDS 398A | 281 | II | A0 V |
| PDS 545. | 238 | II | B2 |
| PDS 076 | 97 | II | F0 V |
| PDS 080 | 120 | II | F1 III |
| HBC 282 | 142 | II | B+sh |
| HD 95881 | 74 | II | A0 |
| HBC $552 . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 128 | II | F+sh |
| HD 76534 | 116 | II | B2 |
| PDS 069N. | 158 | III | B3 |
| PDS 339. | 100 | III | A9 V |
| HBC 551. | 245 | III | B5 |
| HD 53367 | 55 | III | B4 |
| PDS 183. | 59 | IV | A8 V |
| PDS 315. | 44 | IV | O8 |
| PDS 172............... | 90 | IV | A3 V |
| PDS $201 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 119 | IV | A7 V |
| HD 98922 | 52 | IV | B9 |
| HBC 078 | 85 | IV | A5 V |
| HD 150193............. | 103 | IV | A0 V |

forbidden lines in the low- and medium-resolution observations. In some cases, however, forbidden lines detected in low- and medium-resolution spectra were not present in the high-resolution observations (taken at a different epoch), suggesting that those lines also present variable intensities.

The distribution of the detected forbidden lines among different spectral types and $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line-profile types can be seen in Table 4. We have an almost equal number of A (40\%) and B ( $45 \%$ ) stars in our sample; therefore, the results in Table 4 point to a significantly higher occurrence of forbidden lines among B stars. The forbidden line distribution among different $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ profile types is nearly identical to the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ profile distribution in our sample (see § 4.1), indicating that forbidden lines are evenly distributed among each $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line-profile type.

In a previous work Böhm \& Catala (1994) detected [O I] in stars with $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line profiles of types II, III and IV and did not detect it in stars with type I profiles. Since types II, III, and IV are characteristic of stars with stellar winds and [O I] is expected to originate in jet/outflow regions associated with mass-loss processes, they suggested that objects with type I profiles do not present stellar winds or have very low mass-loss rates. As can be seen in Table 4, 29\% of the [O I] lines detected by us belong to type I stars, leading us to believe that the Böhm \& Catala (1994) sample is strongly affected by selection effects in this aspect.

The distribution in our sample of $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{S}_{\text {II }}\right]$ forbidden lines supports the results of Corcoran \& Ray $(1997,1998)$, who also found that the forbidden lines are concentrated among B type stars and are observed among type I H $\alpha$ line-

TABLE 4
Distribution of the Forbidden
Lines among Different Spectral Types and H $\alpha$ Line-Profile Types

| Type | Distribution (\%) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Spectral Type |  |
| B ........................ | 54 |
| A. | 30 |
| F | 8 |
| O........................ | 8 |
| H $\alpha$ Profile |  |
| I .... | 29 |
| II........................ | 41 |
| III ........................ | 18 |
| IV ....................... | 12 |

profile stars. Corcoran \& Ray (1997, 1998), however, found that forbidden lines were more common among type II line profiles, while our results point to an equal distribution among all profile types. Selection effects may explain their results, since the majority of their stars belong to group II in the work of Hillenbrand et al. (1992), which generally presents $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line profiles of types II and III.

## 5. DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

### 5.1. Circumstellar Environment

The Balmer line profiles and the presence of forbidden lines are strongly connected with the circumstellar environment. To check this correlation, we use SEDs calculated for 62 HAeBe stars in our sample by M. Sartori \& J. GregorioHetem (private communication), using the disk model of Gregorio-Hetem \& Hetem (2002). In this model the star is surrounded by an extended and flat disk and a thin dust


Fig. 8.-Example of SED fitting using the model of Gregorio-Hetem \& Hetem (2002). The solid thick line is the resulting total emission, and different lines are used to show contributions from the star (dashed line), the disk (solid line), and the envelope (dotted line). The observed data are represented by open squares.
shell envelope. The disk is assumed to be passive, optically thick, and geometrically thin. The inner radius of the disk is constrained by the adopted grain destruction temperature ( 1500 K ), and the outer disk radius defines the inner radius of the envelope. The total radiation emitted is the sum of the contributions of the star, the disk, and the envelope. In this preliminary analysis the disk and envelope contributions were not disentangled. We will only discuss stellar and circumstellar (disk+envelope) contributions to the SED.

The stellar contribution is calculated assuming a blackbody emission attenuated by the opacity of the envelope (see § 3 of Gregorio-Hetem \& Hetem 2002). The star temperature that defines the blackbody is obtained from the calibration between spectral type and effective tem-
perature proposed by de Jager \& Nieuwenhuijzen (1987) for main-sequence stars. The optical depth used in the calculation of the attenuation is initially estimated by the observed color excess $E(B-V)$ and then iterated during the model fit.

The observational data used to fit the model are composed of $U B V R I$ photometry, IRAS far-infrared fluxes, and, whenever available, $J H K$ 2MASS photometry. The SED calculations will be discussed in detail in a companion paper. An example of an SED fit is presented in Figure 8.

Figure 9 shows histogram plots of the circumstellar contribution to the SED versus the total number of stars $(a)$, the stars presenting forbidden lines (b), and the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line profile types ( $c, d, e$, and $f$ ).


FIg. 9.-Distribution of HAeBe stars according to the circumstellar contribution to the SED. (a) All the HAeBe stars in our sample; (b) stars presenting forbidden lines; and $(c)-(f)$ stars according to their $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line-profile types.


Fig. 10.-Distribution of the PDS HAeBe stars in Galactic coordinates.

We can see from Figure 9 that forbidden lines are concentrated in objects that have significant circumstellar contribution. We also notice that $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line-profile types present the following distribution: type I line profiles are concentrated around objects with less significant circumstellar contribution, type II in the more significant circumstellar ones, and types III and IV represent intermediate situations with a histogram peaking at objects that present $30 \%-60 \%$ of circumstellar contribution to the SED.

### 5.2. Galactic Distribution

To improve the proposed classification of the HAeBe candidates found in the PDS, minimum distances were calculated assuming that the stars are on the main sequence. It is important to keep in mind that these are only indicative values, since HAeBe stars may have strong photometric variations and our estimates of the reddening are independent of the galactic environment as the factor $R$ is obtained in the same way.

We used these minima distances to investigate the possible relationship between the candidates and the main star-forming regions (SFRs). Figure 10 shows a plot of the Galactic distribution of the HAeBe candidates. As one can see, the stars are concentrated around the Galactic plane, mostly between $-25^{\circ} \leq b \leq 25^{\circ}$.

To further investigate the connection between stars and star-forming regions, we plotted in Figure 11 the HAeBe
stars over the opacity levels of the photographic Dark Clouds Catalogue compiled by Feitzinger \& Stuwe (1984). Around $l \approx 270^{\circ}$ there is a well-known tunnel of low reddening near the Galactic plane, which allows us to find HAeBe candidates even at great distances. Figure 12 shows the HAeBe stars in the Orion region superposed on the contours of the larger molecular clouds found by the Columbia millimeter-wave telescope in the third Galactic quadrant (Maddalena et al. 1986).

Combining the distance estimates of the Herbig candidates with the knowledge of the interstellar medium distribution, we have found that 84 candidates seem to be associated with some of the more conspicuous SFRs, being in the right direction and at a compatible distance. For 24 stars the proposed association is not clear enough within the given uncertainties. Two candidates do not have photometric data and no other indicator of their distance could be found. In this case only a positional coincidence with the SFR could be given. Another two candidates were not considered in this analysis since they have been recently recognized as a protoplanetary nebula: PDS 465 (GarcíaHernández et al. 2002) and PDS 581 (Castro-Carrizo et al. 2002).

The results are summarized in Table 5. The first column contains the star identification in the PDS catalog, except where otherwise noted. The following columns contain the Galactic coordinates $l$ and $b$ in degrees, the photometric and Hipparcos distances of the star in parsecs, the distance and name of the proposed star-forming region associated to the HAeBe candidate, as well as its reference in the literature. The last column contains general comments, such as other HAeBe designations or suggestions of associated starforming regions. The other 19 stars used to complement the data are also listed at the end of the table.

When available, Hipparcos distances were preferred. In some cases we were able to find kinematic distances $\left(d_{\text {kin }}\right)$ to the SFR. It should be noted that the photometric distances were calculated assuming that the stars are in the main sequence. Therefore, to be certain of the association of HAeBe candidates and SFR, we need to improve the distance determination of the stars, especially of the more distant ones, as well as the distance of the less well-studied SFRs. Given such limitations, caution should be exercised in using the proposed associations.


Fig. 11.-Location of the PDS HAeBe stars (squares) within the studied area. The clouds' contours are the lowest opacity level of the photographic catalog by Feitzinger \& Stuwe (1984).


Fig. 12.-PDS HAeBe stars in the Orion region superposed on the contours of the larger molecular clouds found by the Columbia millimeterwave telescope in the third Galactic quadrant (Maddalena et al. 1986).

### 5.3. Evolutionary Status

As a further means of checking the properties of the HAeBe candidates, as well as their present evolutionary status, the derived luminosities (calculated according to Balona 1994) and effective temperatures of stars in Table 2 were plotted together with a set of PMS evolutionary tracks on an HR diagram, as shown in Figure 13. The luminosities were calculated using the distances and errors from Hipparcos, when available, or to the associated SFR and their uncertainties, as explained in the previous section.

The evolutionary tracks were computed using the ATON 2.0 stellar evolution code (Mazzitelli 1989; Mazzitelli et al. 1995; Ventura et al. 1998). The input physics for all models were the same, namely, opacities taken from the OPAL (Iglesias \& Rogers 1993) opacity tables, supplemented by those at low and intermediate temperatures of Alexander \& Ferguson (1994); the convection model was the standard mixing length theory, with $\alpha$ (ratio of the mixing length to the pressure scale height) set to 1.5 , and the chemical composition was set to the solar one ( $Y=0.271, Z=0.0175$ ), which is typical of Population I stars.

As one can see, most HAeBe candidates not only are located within the usual mass range ( $2-10 M_{\odot}$ ) for HAeBe stars but are also near the ZAMS. The group of stars with masses between 1.5 and $2 M_{\odot}$ is expected in our sample, since the selection criteria of HAeBe stars adopted by the PDS program included stars earlier than F5.

Considering the error bars, a set of 14 stars (Table 6) has luminosities and temperatures that put them out of the expected position for an HAeBe object, all of them deserving more accurate study. The stars above the considered mass range (Table 6) include two that are suspected to be protoplanetary nebulae: PDS 067 (Miroshnichenko et al. 1999) and PDS 234 (Garcia-Lario et al. 1993, 1997). Regarding the stars below the ZAMS, three of them are classified as HAeBe objects: PDS 176 (van den Ancker et al. 1998; Piétu et al. 2003), PDS 004 (Miroshnichenko et al. 1999), and PDS 193 (Thé et al. 1994).

### 5.4. Evolved HAeBe Objects

During the evolution of HAeBe stars the circumstellar disk or envelope is cleared by planetesimal or cometesimal bodies and stellar winds. In this evolutionary stage the disk


Fig. 13.-Evolutionary tracks from 1.5 up to $10 M_{\odot}$ (solid lines) and the isochrones corresponding to $10^{3}, 10^{4}, 10^{5}$, and $10^{6}$ (dashed lines) and $10^{7}$ (dash-dotted line), respectively. The stars with distances taken from SFR are shown as dots. The big open dots are stars with Hipparcos distances.
(envelope) contribution to the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ emission is very weak and the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line is almost totally formed at the stellar chromosphere. Sometimes the remote and cool shell is responsible for a central absorption.

The following objects have $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line profiles that might be explained by this evolutionary scenario (Fig. 14): PDS 031S, $080,179,201 \mathrm{~A}, 281,290$, and 303. For three of them (PDS 031S, 080, and 201) the SED was calculated and the circumstellar contribution is very low: $12.3 \%$ for PDS 031S, $31.4 \%$ for PDS 080, and 27\% for PDS 201.

## 6. CONCLUSIONS

Although HAeBe objects present a great variety of $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line profiles, our study shows that the type II line profile seems to be the most common. This is the same result as that of Reipurth et al. (1996), with a different sample, suggesting that most of the time a HAeBe star will present a type II $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line profile. A correlation between the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line profile and $v \sin i$ was only found for stars that have a type IV line profile, which is expected because the stellar wind causes the loss of angular momentum, and these stars will become slow rotators.

In our sample [ $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ] and [ $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}$ ] forbidden lines and $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ type II line profiles are concentrated in objects that have a strong circumstellar contribution to the SED. The forbidden lines also tend to occur more frequently among B than A stars, supporting the results of Corcoran \& Ray (1997, 1998).

The distribution of forbidden lines among different $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line profiles is the same as the distribution of objects among $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ profile types. This fact shows that there is no correlation between the presence of forbidden lines and the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ lineprofile type, contrary to what was found by Corcoran \& Ray $(1997,1998)$ and Böhm \& Catala (1994). It is important

TABLE 5
PDS Stars and Their Proposed Star-Forming Regions Association

| Name | $l$ | $b$ | $d_{\text {phot }}$ | $d_{\text {Hip }}$ | $d_{\text {SFR }}$ | SFR | Ref. | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Taurus-Auriga |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 004 | 161.19 | -20.46 | 580 | $\ldots$ | 250-300 | Per OB2 | 4 | V1185 Tau |
| 168 ........................ | 174.87 | -17.06 | 130 |  | 130-150 | LDN 1536 | 1 |  |
| 172. | 173.47 | -7.90 | 150 | $131_{115}^{156}$ | 140-160 | Tau | 2 | HD 31648 |
| 178N. | 180.76 | -6.19 | 220 | $150{ }_{110}^{236}$ | 140-160 | LDN 1554 | 3 | ... |
| 178S ...................... | 180.76 | -6.19 | 220 | $150_{110}^{236}$ | 140-160 | LDN 1554 | 3 |  |
| 183....................... | 181.26 | -4.77 | 140 | $204{ }_{165}^{268}$ | 140-160 | Tau | 2 | $\ldots$ |
| Gemini |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 020E ..................... | 192.14 | -3.60 | 1200 | $\ldots$ | 1000-1500 | Gem OB1 | 5,6 |  |
| 204....................... | 184.88 | -1.73 | 2600 |  | 1100 | WB 711 | 1993 | BFS 50 |
| Orion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 016. | 209.59 | -17.43 | 480 | $422_{272}^{935}$ | 460-650 | Ori OB1 | 8 | $\ldots$ |
| $018 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 215.88 | -17.48 | 380 | ... | 300-700 | Ori A | 7 |  |
| 023. | 219.39 | -10.06 | 1700 | $\ldots$ | 670-1130 | Southern Ori | 10 | Mon R2 $(d=830 \mathrm{pc})$ |
| 114. | 202.58 | -18.46 | 730 |  | 460-650 | Ori OB1 | 8 | LDN 1614 |
| 176........................ | 211.03 | -25.61 | 540 | $164{ }_{129}^{224}$ | 300-460 | Ori | 7 | V1366 Ori |
| 179....................... | 200.32 | -18.11 | 470 | 952-330 | 460-650 | Ori OB1 | 8 | ... |
| 180 ....................... | 201.07 | -18.34 | 300 | $645-80$ | 300-460 | Ori | 7 | V1409 - ${ }^{\text {- }}$ |
| 184. | 193.21 | -12.28 | 510 |  | 360-440 | $\lambda$ Ori | 9 | V1409 Ori |
| 185S | 188.50 | -8.88 | 180 | $3422_{22}^{742}$ | 360-440 | $\lambda$ Ori | 9 | HR 1847 |
| 190 | 205.71 | -17.25 | 550 | ... | 300-700 | Ori A | 7 | $\ldots$ |
| 191. | 210.56 | -19.41 | 320 | $\ldots$ | 300-700 | Ori A | 7 | vdB 46 |
| 192 | 205.50 | -16.84 | 270 | $\ldots$ | 360-640 | Ori B | 7 | V1247 Ori, vdB50 |
| 193. | 210.72 | -19.38 | 600 | $\ldots$ | 300-700 | Ori A | 7 | ... |
| 194 | 209.40 | -18.73 | 230 | $\ldots$ | 300-700 | Ori A | 7 |  |
| 198 | 211.25 | -19.39 | 190 |  | 300-700 | Ori A | 7 | V599 Ori |
| 201 ........................ | 204.98 | -14.81 | 170 | $285{ }_{196}^{521}$ | 460-650 | Ori B | 7 | V351 Ori |
| Canis Major |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 024. | 227.69 | -8.15 | 1800 | $\ldots$ | 2000 | CMa | 10 | $\ldots$ |
| 025. | 235.81 | -10.50 | 790 | $\ldots$ | 660-730 | Collinder 121 | 10, 48 | $\ldots$ |
| $027 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 231.81 | -1.96 | 1100 | $\ldots$ | 1200-1300 | CMa | 10, 14 | ... |
| 133....................... | 239.58 | -4.63 | 2500 | $\ldots$ | 2460 | LDN 1664 | 1,12 | $\ldots$ |
| 134. | 237.00 | -1.32 | 1800 | $\ldots$ | 2090 | SS 127 | 12 | $\ldots$ |
| 229 N . | 216.23 | -0.49 | 1600 | $\ldots$ | 1300-1600 | Mon | 14 |  |
| 234. | 217.05 | -0.05 | 4200 | $\ldots$ | 3000-4600 | Sh 2-287 | 46 | Iron Clad neb. |
| $241 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 218.75 | 1.86 | 3900 | $\ldots$ | 5300-8300 | Sh 2-288 | 46 | Ced 92 |
| 249....................... | 239.94 | -5.03 | 2300 | $\ldots$ | 2460 | LDN 1664 | 1,12 | ... |
| 255. | 234.77 | -0.27 | 4500 | $\ldots$ | 3000-5400 | Sh 2-309 | 12,46 | $\ldots$ |
| 257........................ | 236.38 | 1.49 | 3000 |  | 4300 | $\mathrm{G} 236.4+1.49$ | 12 |  |
| Monoceros |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 021 ........................ | 216.46 | -15.42 | 1100 | $\ldots$ | 1000-1200 | LDN 1653-1656 | 1,10 | V791 Mon |
| 022 | 221.20 | -17.16 | 690 | ... | 780-880 | NGC 2149 | 11 | AE Lep |
| 124. | 213.19 | -12.56 | 1000 | ... | 780-880 | LDN 1646 | 13 | ... |
| 126....................... | 214.40 | -11.31 | 500 | $\ldots$ | 780-880 | Mon R2 | 14 |  |
| 130 ....................... | 219.59 | -3.79 | 1200 |  | 1000-2000 | BFS 63 | 10, 46 | CMaR1 $(d=1315 \mathrm{pc})$ |
| Vela and the Gum Nebula |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 031S ...................... | 257.63 | 0.25 | 670 | $288{ }_{204}^{490}$ | 200-240 | Gum Nebula | 17 | $\ldots$ |
| 033 ........................ | 261.62 | 1.84 | 1400 | ... | 850-1150 | RCW 32 | 46 | $\ldots$ |
| 034........................ | 265.70 | -1.20 | 2900 | ... | 1800-2190 | Vela OB1 | 8 | ... |
| 272 ....................... | 260.26 | -5.60 | 600 | $\ldots$ | 500-900 | Vela OB2 | 16,48 | $\ldots$ |
| 277 ........................ | 257.33 | -1.05 | 210 | ... | 200-240 | Gum Nebula | 17 | $\ldots$ |
| 281 ......................... | 265.23 | 0.52 | 340 | . | 450-600 | Vela | 11, 18 | $\ldots$ |
| 286....................... | 268.58 | -0.05 | 560 | ... | 450-600 | Vela | 11, 18 | $\ldots$ |
| 290 ........................ | 274.70 | -1.46 | 1800 | ... | 1800-2190 | Vela OB1 | 8 | $\ldots$ |
| $297 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 278.86 | -2.47 | 770 | $\ldots$ | 700-800 | DC 278.2-2.1 | 15, 16 | $\cdots$ |

TABLE 5-Continued

| Name | $l$ | $b$ | $d_{\text {phot }}$ | $d_{\text {Hip }}$ | $d_{\text {SFR }}$ | SFR | Ref. | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carina |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 037. | 282.31 | -0.77 | 720 | $\ldots$ | 800 | DC 282.4+0.5 | 17 | SA 192 |
| 303. | 282.88 | -3.14 | 435 | $\ldots$ | 800 | DC 282.8-3.2 | 17 | SA 192 |
| 322 N | 286.87 | 2.90 | 3800 | $\ldots$ | 2200-2800 | Car OB1 | 8, 28 | DC 287.7+2.9 |
| $324 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 290.36 | -2.83 | 5500 | $\ldots$ | 3200-8800 | G35 | 46 |  |
| 327. | 289.62 | 0.62 | 1200 |  | 1650-2750 | Car OB2 | 8,46 | $\mathrm{G} 37(d=3200 \pm 500)$ |
|  | 291.58 | 6.81 | 110 | $111_{102}^{121}$ | 120-150 | Carina | 19 | SA 193 |
| Centaurus and Crux |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 057...................... | 294.13 | 1.47 | 410 | $\ldots$ | 500-700 | DC 295.0+1.3 | 21 | close to Sh 2-131 |
| 067. | 309.7 | -1.48 | 1700 | $\ldots$ | 1500-2300 | Cen OB1 | 8,46 | RCW $80(d=3800 \pm 700)$ |
| 139. | 296.19 | 0.02 | 2200 | $\ldots$ | 1750-3250 | Cru OB1 | 8,46 | RCW $62(d=2100 \pm 300)$ |
| 140. | 298.70 | 2.87 | 290 | $\ldots$ | 150-200 | Coalsack | 21 |  |
| 353. | 299.68 | -0.60 | 1200 | $\ldots$ | 900-1400 | Coalsack | 20 | SS 73 |
| 361. | 304.33 | 0.62 | 2700 | $\ldots$ | 1700-2400 | Cen OB1 | 8 | ... |
| 364. | 306.25 | 0.29 | 2600 |  | 1500-2700 | RCW 75 | 46 |  |
| Chamaeleon-Musca |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 061. | 300.23 | -15.59 | 115 | $116_{109}^{124}$ | 140-200 | Cha | 23 | DX Cha |
| 340. | 296.37 | -8.32 | 190 | $103_{97}^{110}$ | 100-120 | DC 296.2-7.9 | 22 | HD 100546 |
| Norma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 399A | 326.98 | -1.24 | 874 | $\ldots$ | 600-700 | Norma | 24 | $\ldots$ |
| 399B | 326.98 | -1.24 | 874 | $\ldots$ | 600-700 | Norma | 24 | $\cdots$ |
| 389. | 318.78 | -3.91 | 240 | $\ldots$ | 150-200 | G317-4 | 17 | Circinus |
| 394. | 320.94 | -4.99 | 670 | $\ldots$ | 400-600 | Circinus | 25 | Sandqvist 165 |
| 406. | 338.59 | 9.35 | 1200 | ... | 1000-1400 | Norma | 24 | ... |
| 431. | 342.37 | 0.10 | 1300 |  | 1000-1400 | Norma/Ara | 24 |  |
| Lupus and Ophiuchus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 076. | 349.91 | 23.50 | 118 | ... | 110-160 | Sco R1 | 27 | $\ldots$ |
| 078 | 347.41 | 17.81 | 119 | $253_{183}^{405}$ | 110-160 | $\rho \mathrm{Oph}$ | 17, 26 | $\ldots$ |
| 080. | 352.43 | 20.44 | 139 | $131_{114}^{154}$ | 110-160 | $\rho$ Oph/Sco OB2 | 17, 8 | $\ldots$ |
| 095. | 353.11 | -0.72 | 950 | ... | 1100-1700 | RCW 131 | 28 | $\ldots$ |
| 096. | 349.87 | -3.54 | 1300 | ... | 850-1150 | DC 349.8-3.5 | 29, 30 | vdB 91 |
| 395. | 333.24 | 10.19 | 160 | $\ldots$ | 130-160 | Lupus | 17 | $\ldots$ |
| 398A | 4.19 | 36.91 | 170 | $99_{91}^{108}$ | 110-160 | $\rho$ Oph | 17, 26 |  |
| 415N ..................... | 352.07 | 18.44 | 280 |  | 110-160 | $\rho$ Oph/Sco OB2 | 17, 8 | LDN 1687 |
| 473. | 7.24 | 1.48 | 160 | $121_{109}^{139}$ | 110-160 | $\rho$ Oph | 17, 26 | HD 163296 |
| Serpens, Aquila, and Scutum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 518. | 26.81 | 3.52 | 230 | $\ldots$ | 220 | Scutum | 11,7 | Aql Rift ( $d=200$ ) |
| 520. | 31.14 | 5.06 | 180 | $\ldots$ | 200-350 | Serpens | 31 | ... |
| $530 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 39.09 | 5.87 | 1000 | $\ldots$ | 600-1000 | W50 | 32, 49 | $\ldots$ |
| 545. | 40.62 | 4.09 | 560 |  | 500-700 | LDN 637 | 1 | $\ldots$ |
| 564....... | 49.21 | 2.88 | 197 | $244_{200}^{313}$ | 200-300 | Aquila | 33 | $\ldots$ |


| Stars from PDS without Clear Association to Star-Forming Regions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 002 ........................ | 293.76 | -64.10 | 340 | $\ldots$ |  |  |  | Isolated? |
| 019........................ | 219.84 | -18.12 | 1700 | $\ldots$ | 850-1150 | Southern Ori | 27 |  |
| 344....................... | 295.41 | -2.70 | 4100 | $\ldots$ | 1300-2500 | DC 296.1-2.5 | 8,46 | Cru OB1 |
| 069N..................... | 316.49 | 21.14 | 1200 | $\ldots$ | 100 | G316.4+21.2 | 33 | MBM 112 |
| 144N..................... | 345.61 | 21.77 | 2000 | $\ldots$ | ... | Magnani 124 | 36 | ... |
| 144S | 345.61 | 21.77 | 1030 | $\ldots$ |  | Magnani 124 | 36 |  |
| 174 | 203.54 | -24.69 | 1700 | $\ldots$ | 460 | G203.4-24.7 | 33 | LDN 1615/1616 |
| 187. | 208.19 | -19.90 | 1600 | $\ldots$ | 300-700 | Ori A | 7,46 | UY Ori |
| 207........................ | 181.48 | 4.60 | 600 | ... | 3000-4400 | LDN 1557 | 34, 6 | ... |
| $211 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 182.28 | 4.92 | 1100 | $\ldots$ | 3000-4400 | LDN 1557 | 34, 6 |  |
| 216....................... | 196.93 | 0.64 | 2700 | $\ldots$ | 850-1150 | Mon OB1 | 7 | $d_{\text {kin }}=4700 \mathrm{pc}$ |
| $225 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 208.45 | 2.40 | 360 | $\ldots$ | 930-1600 | Rosette | 35 | $d_{\text {kin }}=930 \mathrm{pc}$ |
| 250 ....................... | 238.48 | -4.17 | 4100 | ... | 2460 | LDN 1664 | 1,12 |  |
| 315....................... | 286.61 | -1.92 | 6500 | $\ldots$ | 2000-3000 | Car OB1 | 8 |  |
| 371N..................... | 315.31 | 24.86 | 6000 |  |  | ... | ... | $\ldots$ |

TABLE 5-Continued

| Name | $l$ | $b$ | $d_{\text {phot }}$ | $d_{\text {Hip }}$ | $d_{\text {SFR }}$ | SFR | Ref. | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stars from PDS without Clear Association to Star-Forming Regions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 453. | 359.45 | 6.15 | 490 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | LDN 1767/1773 | 34 | $\ldots$ |
| 469 | 13.26 | 6.46 | 1100 | $\ldots$ |  | LDN 330 | 37 |  |
| 477 | 12.21 | 3.21 | 2900 |  | 900-1500 | Sgr R1 | 8 |  |
| 514 | 3.40 | -7.82 | 130 | $\ldots$ |  |  |  | Isolated |
| 543...................... | 35.13 | 2.08 | 414 |  | $\geq 1000$ | LDN 616 | 50 |  |
| 551 | 37.01 | 0.98 | 3500 |  | 2000 | CO 38+2 | 49 | LDN 628 |
| QT4 ..................... | 307.89 | 24.00 | 457 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |  | V958 Cen |
| QT2 ....................... | 264.54 | -10.72 | 309 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | DC 264.5-11.3 | 15 | Gum Nebula |
| QT3 ...................... | 276.24 | $-10.60$ | 492 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | DC 276.2-10.6 | 15 |  |
| Stars without Distance but with Remarkable Positional Coincidence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| QT1 ...................... | 203.65 | -18.27 |  |  | 360-640 | Ori B | 7 |  |
| 141 ....................... | 303.04 | -14.24 |  |  | 140-200 | DC 303-14 | 38 | DK Cha |
| Stars from Other Haebe Catalogs Used To Complement the Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HBC 078 | 172.50 | -8.00 |  | $144_{126}^{167}$ | 140-160 | Tau-Aur | 2 | AB Aur |
| HD53367. | 223.70 | -1.91 | $\ldots$ | $2500_{185}^{370}$ | 500 | vdB 86 | 29 | V750 Mon |
| HD 52721 | 224.15 | -2.87 | ... | $910^{-5} 7$ | 670-1130 | Southern Ori | 10 | ... |
| HBC 548 | 224.37 | -2.73 | $\ldots$ | ... | 810-1150 | CMa OB1 | 47 | HT CMa |
| HBC 551. | 224.53 | -2.43 | $\ldots$ |  | 810-1150 | CMa OB1 | 47 | HU CMa |
| HBC 552 | 256.14 | -14.07 | $\ldots$ | $500_{288}^{-}$ | 450 | Gum Nebula | 18 | NX Pup |
| HD 76534N | 264.41 | 1.05 | $\ldots$ | $4100_{268}^{888}$ | 450-600 | Vela | 40 | OU Vel |
| HD 76534S | 264.41 | 1.05 | $\ldots$ | $410{ }_{268}^{884}$ | 450-600 | Vela | 40 | OU Vel |
| HD 85567 | 282.67 | -5.43 | $\ldots$ | $1000_{591}^{3225}$ | 800-2500 | Carina | 41 | ... |
| HD 98922 ............... | 289.77 | 7.23 | ... | $1040{ }_{632}^{2900}$ | 1000-2000 | Centaurus | 42 |  |
| HD 95881 ............... | 294.40 | -10.47 | $\ldots$ | ... | . . . | DC 295.3-13 | 43 | Globule 121 |
| Hen 3-847 ............... | 304.60 | 13.95 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... |  |  | V1028 Cen |
| HBC $596 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 317.08 | -4.19 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 150-200 | G317-4 | 17 | Circinus |
| HBC 619. | 339.53 | 9.38 | $\ldots$ | $210_{176}^{253}$ | 130-160 | Lupus | 44 | V856 Sco |
| HD 150193. | 355.60 | 14.85 | ... | $150{ }_{119}^{200}$ | 100-140 | Ophiuchus | 26 | ... |
| HBC 288 | 359.94 | -17.84 | $\cdots$ | $8{ }_{5}^{18}$ | 130-170 | DC 359.9-17.9 | 45 | R CrA |
| HBC 287 ................ | 359.99 | -17.78 | 130 | ... | 130-170 | DC 359.9-17.9 | 45 | TY CrA |
| HBC 282 ................ | 30.46 | 5.11 |  | ... | 200-300 | Aql Rift | 7,11 | VV Ser |
| HD 190073.............. | 46.47 | -13.09 |  | $5000_{750}^{-}$ | ... | ... |  | V1295 Aql |

References.-Reference code: (1) Hilton \& Lahulla 1995; (2) Cohen \& Kuhi 1979; (3) Ungerechts \& Thaddeus 1987; (4) de Zeeuw et al. 1999; (5) Haug 1970; (6) Carpenter et al. 1995; (7) Dame et al. 1987; (8) Dambis et al. 2001, (9) Murdin \& Penston 1977; (10) Maddalena et al. 1986; (11) Feitzinger \& Stuwe 1986; (12) Wouterloot \& Brand 1989; (13) Herbst \& Racine 1976; (14) Maddalena \& Thaddeus 1985; (15) Hartley et al. 1986; (16) Lizeau et al. 1992; (17) Franco 1990; (18) Pettersson 1991; (19) Knude 1984; (20) Franco 1989; (21) Corradi et al. 1997; (22) Vieira et al. 1999; (23) Whittet et al. 1997; (24) Haug \& Bredow 1977; (25) Haug et al. 1966; (26) Knude \& Høg 1998; (27) Racine 1968; (28) Higdon \& Lingenfelter 1996; (29) Eggen 1978; (30) Persi et al. 1991; (31) Eiroa 1991; (32) Dame \& Thaddeus 1985; (33) Yonekura et al. 1999; (34) Lynds 1962; (35) Turner 1976; (36) Magnani et al. 1985; (37) Parker 1991; (38) Gregorio-Hetem et al. 1988; (39) Keto \& Myers 1986; (40) Duncan et al. 1996; (41) Feinstein 1995; (42) Courtès et al. 1970; (43) Feitzinger \& Stuwe 1984; (44) Tachihara et al. 1996; (45) Marraco \& Rydgren 1981; (46) Avedisova 2000; (47) Herbig 1991; (48) Kaltcheva 2000; (49) Andersson 1991; (50) Myers 1975.

TABLE 6
Stars out of the Expected Position in the
HR Diagram

| PDS | Name |
| :---: | :---: |
| PDS 204..................... | MWC 778 |
| PDS 234 | GSC 4805-1306 |
| PDS 241. | GSC 4823-0146 |
| PDS 255. | SS73 6 |
| PDS 286. | Hen 3-248 |
| PDS 324. | IRAS 10554-6237 |
| PDS 327. | HD 96042 |
| PDS 139. | IRAS 11507-6148 |
| PDS 067. | Hen 3-938 |
| PDS 176..................... | HD 34282 |
| PDS 193. | GSC 4779-0040 |
| PDS 140. | GSC 8645-1401 |
| PDS 415N. | CD -23 12840 |
| PDS 004...................... | GSC 1811-0767 |

to note that the completeness of our sample gives support to our results.

When plotted in the HR Diagram, 14 stars lie out of the expected position for HAeBe stars. Three of them were already classified as HAeBe stars and two as protoplanetary nebulae. The remaining nine objects need more study, mainly in distance determination.

An investigation of the possible relationship of the HAeBe candidates found in the PDS with the main SFR shows that 84 candidates seem to be associated with one of the more conspicuous SFRs, being in the right direction and at compatible distances. Twenty-four stars need a more accurate study in order to assign any association to them.

Still considering the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line profile, eight objects presented a line profile (weak emission inside the absorption well) that can be explained by an evolutionary scenario where the shell is almost absent (evolved HAeBe stars). Since HAeBe stars show great variability in the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line


FIg. 14.-H $\alpha$ line profiles of the presumably evolved HAeBe objects
profile, it is important to follow the behavior of these stars in order to confirm this classification.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{6}$ The low- and medium-resolution spectra are available for download at http://www.fisica.ufmg.br/~svieira/TRANSF.

[^2]:    Notes.- The first column is the star identification in the PDS program, the T superscript identifying the PDS objects also proposed to be HAeBe by The et al. 1994. QT (from Quast and Torres) are
    ned resolution: L for low, M for medium, and H for high; col. (5) has the spectral type classification by the PDS program. Col. (6) has the H $\alpha$ line-profile classification according to Reipurth et al. 1996, the question mark means that the spectra quality is too poor to blasifid and "abs" means that the profic is in absorption. Col. (7) shows the presence of forbidden lines: [O IT* means that only 6300.31 A is present; the question mark means that the spectra begin at $6500 \AA$ and nothing can be said about the presence of the $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right]$ lines; the minus sign means that $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right]$ forbidden lines are not
    present.

